arXiv:1410.5150v2 [math.DG] 16 Dec 2014

STABILITIES OF HOMOTHETICALLY SHRINKING YANG-MILLS SOLITONS

ZHENGXIANG CHEN AND YONGBING ZHANG

Abstract. In this paper we introduce entropy-stability and F-stability for homothetically shrinking Yang-Mills solitons, employing entropy and second variation of $\mathcal{F}$-functional respectively. For a homothetically shrinking soliton which does not descend, we prove that entropy-stability implies F-stability. These stabilities have connections with the study of Type-I singularities of the Yang-Mills flow. Two byproducts are also included: We show that the Yang-Mills flow in dimension four cannot develop a Type-I singularity; and we obtain a gap theorem for homothetically shrinking solitons.

1. Introduction

In this paper we introduce entropy-stability and F-stability for homothetically shrinking (Yang-Mills) solitons. Let E be a trivial G-vector bundle over $\mathbb{R}^n$ and of rank r. Here the gauge group G is a Lie subgroup of $SO(r)$. A homothetically shrinking soliton, centered at the space-time point $(x_0 = 0, t_0 = 1)$, is a connection $A(x)$ on E such that

$$(d^{\nabla})^* F + \frac{1}{2} i_x F = 0,$$

where F is the curvature of $A(x)$, $(d^{\nabla})^*$ denotes the formal adjoint of the covariant exterior differentiation d^{∇}, and i_x stands for the interior product by the position vector x.

A homothetically shrinking soliton $A(x)$ gives rise to a special solution of the Yang-Mills flow. In fact in the exponential gauge of $A(x)$, the following

$$A(x,t) = A_j(x,t)dx^j := (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} A_j((1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}x)dx^j$$

is a solution to the Yang-Mills flow. On the other hand, homothetically shrinking solitons are closely related to Type-I singularities of the Yang-Mills flow. Weinkove [22] proved that Type-I singularities of the Yang-Mills flow are modelled by homothetically shrinking solitons whose curvatures do not vanish identically. Examples of homothetically shrinking solitons have been found in [10, 22]. In this paper, we restrict ourselves to homothetically shrinking solitons which have uniform bounds on $|\nabla^k A(x)|$ for each $k \geq 1$. In fact, Weinkove showed in [22] that Type-I singularities of the Yang-Mills flow can be modelled by such solitons.

Recently, Colding and Minicozzi [8] discovered two functionals for immersed surfaces in Euclidean space, i.e. the $\mathcal{F}$-functional and the entropy. Critical points of both functionals are self-shrinkers of the mean curvature flow. Colding and Minicozzi introduced entropy-stability and F-stability for self-shrinkers. Inspired by their work, in this paper we aim

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C44, 53C07.

Key words and phrases. Yang-Mills flow, stability, homothetically shrinking soliton.

The project is supported by NSFC No. 11201448.

to introduce corresponding stabilities for homothetically shrinking Yang-Mills solitons. In fact there are many aspects in common concerning the entropy-stability and F-stability for self-similar solutions to various geometric flows, which includes mean curvature flow, Ricci flow, harmonic map heat flow, and Yang-Mills flow. For the entropy-stability and linearly stability of Ricci solitons, see for instance [4, 6]; for the entropy-stability and F-stability of self-similar solutions to the harmonic map heat flow see [23].

We begin with the definition of $\mathcal{F}$-functional. Let x_0 be a point in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and t_0 a positive number. The $\mathcal{F}$-functional with respect to (x_0, t_0), defined on the space of connections on E, is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}(A) = t_0^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F|^2 (4\pi t_0)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t_0}} dx. \tag{1.1}$$

The functional $\mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}$ can trace back to the monotonicity formula of the Yang-Mills flow. For the monotonicity formula see [7, 12, 18]. Let $A(x,t)$ be a solution to the Yang-Mills flow on E and

$$\Phi_{x_0,t_0}(A(x,t)) = (t_0 - t)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F|^2 [4\pi(t_0 - t)]^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4(t_0-t)}} dx.$$

Along the Yang-Mills flow, Φ_{x_0,t_0} is non-increasing in t. Moreover Φ_{x_0,t_0} is preserved if and only if $A(x,0)$ is a homothetically shrinking soliton centered at (x_0,t_0). Here a homothetically shrinking soliton centered at (x_0,t_0) is a connection on E satisfying the equation

$$(d^\nabla)^* F + \frac{1}{2t_0} i_{x-x_0} F = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

The $\mathcal{F}$-functional leads to another characterization of homothetically shrinking solitons: Critical points of $\mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}$ are exactly homothetically shrinking solitons centered at (x_0,t_0); moreover, (x_0,t_0,A_0) is a critical point of the function $(x,t,A) \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{x,t}(A)$ if and only if A_0 is a homothetically shrinking soliton centered at (x_0,t_0).

The λ-entropy of a connection $A(x)$ on the bundle E is defined by

$$\lambda(A) = \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, t_0 > 0} \mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}(A). \tag{1.3}$$

A crucial fact is the following

Proposition 1.1. *Let $A(x,t)$ be a solution to the Yang-Mills flow on the bundle E. Then the entropy $\lambda(A(x,t))$ is non-increasing in t.*

The entropy is a rescaling invariant. More precisely, let $A(x)$ be a connection on E and A^c a rescaling of $A(x)$ given by $A^c_i(x) = c^{-1} A_i(c^{-1}x)$, $c > 0$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{cx_0,c^2t_0}(A^c) = \mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}(A)$ and hence $\lambda(A^c) = \lambda(A)$. In particular the entropy of each time-slice of the homothetically shrinking Yang-Mills flow, induced from a homothetically shrinking soliton, is preserved. The entropy is also invariant under translations of a connection. Let $A(x)$ be a connection on E, $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ a given point, and $\widetilde{A}_i(x) = A_i(x + x_1)$. Then we have $\mathcal{F}_{x_0-x_1,t_0}(\widetilde{A}) = \mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}(A)$ and hence $\lambda(\widetilde{A}) = \lambda(A)$.

In general the entropy $\lambda(A)$ of a connection $A(x)$ is not attained by any $\mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}(A)$. However if $A(x)$ is a homothetically shrinking soliton centered at (x_0,t_0), then $\lambda(A) = \mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}(A)$. In fact we prove the following

Proposition 1.2. *Let $A(x)$ be a homothetically shrinking soliton centered at $(0,1)$ such that $i_V F \neq 0$ for any non-zero $V \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the function $(x_0,t_0) \mapsto F_{x_0,t_0}(A)$ attains its strict maximum at $(0,1)$.*

Note that if $i_V F = 0$ for some non-zero vector V, then $A(x)$ can be viewed as a connection on a G-vector bundle over any hyperplane perpendicular to V and we say $A(x)$ descends (to $V^\perp$).

Entropy-stability and F-stability are defined for homothetically shrinking solitons.

Definition 1.1. *A homothetically shrinking soliton $A(x)$ is called entropy-stable if it is a local minimum of the entropy, among all perturbations $\widetilde{A}(x)$ such that $||\widetilde{A} - A||_{C^1}$ is sufficiently small.*

Entropy-stability of homothetically shrinking solitons has direct connections with Type-I singularities of the Yang-Mills flow. For example, given an entropy-unstable homothetically shrinking soliton $A(x)$, by definition we can find a perturbation $\widetilde{A}(x)$ of $A(x)$ such that $||\widetilde{A} - A||_{C^1}$ is arbitrarily small and has less entropy. Then by comparing the entropy, the Yang-Mills flow starting from $\widetilde{A}$ cannot converge back to a rescaling of $A(x)$. Moreover, the Yang-Mills flow cannot develop a Type-I singularity modelled by $A(x)$, due to the fact that the entropy is a rescaling invariant.

Let $A_0(x)$ be a homothetically shrinking soliton centered at (x_0,t_0). For a 1-parameter family of deformations (x_s,t_s,A_s) of (x_0,t_0,A_0), let $V = \frac{dx_s}{ds}|_{s=0}, q = \frac{dt_s}{ds}|_{s=0}, \theta = \frac{dA_s}{ds}|_{s=0}$.

Definition 1.2. *$A_0(x)$ is called F-stable if for any compactly supported θ, there exist a real number q and a vector V such that*

$$\mathcal{F}''_{x_0,t_0}(q,V,\theta) := \frac{d^2}{ds^2}|_{s=0}\mathcal{F}_{x_s,t_s}(A_s) \geq 0.$$

Entropy-stability has an apparent connection with the singular behavior of the Yang-Mills flow; however the F-stability is more practical when we are trying to do classification. The classification of entropy-stable homothetically shrinking solitons can be relied on the classification of F-stable ones. In fact we have the following relation for entropy-stability and F-stability.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $A(x)$ be a homothetically shrinking soliton such that $i_V F \neq 0$ for any non-zero $V \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $A(x)$ is entropy-stable, then it is F-stable.*

Let $A_0(x)$ be a homothetically shrinking soliton centered at $(0,1)$. Denote

$$L\theta = -[(d^\nabla)^* d^\nabla \theta + \mathcal{R}(\theta) + i_{\frac{x}{2}} d^\nabla \theta], \tag{1.4}$$

where $\mathcal{R}(\theta)(\partial_j) := [F_{ij}, \theta_i]$. For the homothetically shrinking soliton $A_0(x)$, we have

$$L(d^\nabla)^* F = (d^\nabla)^* F \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$Li_V F = \frac{1}{2} i_V F, \quad \forall V \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The second variation of the $\mathcal{F}$-functional and at A_0 is given by

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}''_{0,1}(q,V,\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} < -L\theta + 2q(d^\nabla)^* F - i_V F, \theta > G dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (q^2 |(d^\nabla)^* F|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|i_V F|^2) G dx, \tag{1.6}$$

where $G(x) = (4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp(-\frac{|x|^2}{4})$. Denote the space of θ satisfying $L\theta = -\lambda\theta$ by E_λ. We have the following characterization for F-stability.

Theorem 1.4. *$A_0(x)$ is F-stable if and only if the following properties are satisfied*

- $E_{-1} = \{c(d^\nabla)^* F, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}\}$;
- $E_{-\frac{1}{2}} = \{i_V F, \quad V \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$;
- $E_\lambda = \{0\}$, *for any* $\lambda < 0$ *and* $\lambda \neq -1, -\frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem 1.4 amounts to say that $A_0(x)$ is F-stable if and only if L is non-negative definite modulo the vector space spanned by $(d^\nabla)^* F$ and $i_V F$. This is actually the reflection of the invariance property of the $\mathcal{F}$-functional and the entropy under rescalings and translations. Since Colding-Minicozzi's work [8], classification problem of F-stable self-shrinkers of the mean curvature flow has drawn much attention, see for instance [1, 2, 16, 17].

We have two simple byproducts regarding homothetically shrinking solitons. We show the non-existence of homothetically shrinking solitons in dimensions four and lower, and a gap theorem. Let $A(x)$ be a homothetically shrinking soliton centered at $(0, 1)$. Then we have the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 |F|^2 G(x) dx = 2(n-4) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F|^2 G(x) dx.$$

It immediately implies the following

Proposition 1.5. *When $n = 2, 3$, or 4, there exists no homothetically shrinking soliton such that $|F|$ is uniformly bounded and not identically zero.*

Råde [19] proved that the Yang-Mills flow, over a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n = 2$ or 3, exists for all time and converges to a Yang-Mills connection. However if the base manifold has dimension five or above, Naito [18] showed that the Yang-Mills flow can develop a singularity in finite time, see also [11]. It is unclear yet whether the Yang-Mills flow over a four-dimensional manifold develops a singularity in finite time. For partial results in this dimension, see for instance [13, 20, 21] , *the remarkable monographs* [9] *and the references therein*. Together with Weinkove's blowup analysis for Type-I singularities of the Yang-Mills flow, Proposition 1.5 shows that the Yang-Mills flow cannot develop a singularity of Type-I. This was actually a known fact, see for instance [12].

Gap theorems for Yang-Mills connections over spheres was considered in [3]. Gap theorems for various kinds of self-similar solutions have also been obtained, see for instance [5, 15, 23]. By (1.5), we have the following gap result for homothetically shrinking solitons.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $A(x)$ be a homothetically shrinking soliton centered at $(0, 1)$. If $|F|^2 < \frac{n}{2(n-1)}$, then (E, A) is flat.*

The paper is organized as follows: in the next section we review some background, with emphasis on homothetically shrinking solitons and Weinkove's blowup analysis for Type-I singularities of the Yang-Mills flow. In Section 3, we consider the $\mathcal{F}$-functional and its first variation. Section 4 is devoted to the calculation of the second variation of the $\mathcal{F}$-functional, i.e. (1.6). In Section 5, we study the F-stability of homothetically shrinking solitons and prove Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.6. In Section 6, we introduce the λ-entropy and prove Proposition 1.2. In the last section, we prove that entropy-stability implies F-stability, i.e. Theorem 1.3.

We would like to point out that although we assume, for simplicity, that the homothetically shrinking solitons have uniform bounds on $|\nabla^k A|$, our statements except Theorem 1.3 are still straightforwardly valid if $|\nabla^k A|$ has polynomial growth. *Many results in this paper have also been obtained by Kelleher and Streets* [14] *independently.*

2. Preliminaries

In this section we briefly introduce the Yang-Mills flow and its singularity. We shall introduce the blowup analysis for Type-I singularities, which was carried out by Weinkove [22]. It leads to the main object in this paper, i.e. homothetically shrinking soliton.

Let (M, g) be a closed n-dimensional Riemannian manifold. Let G be a compact Lie group and $P(M, G)$ a principle bundle over M with the structure group G. We fix a G-vector bundle $E_M = P(M, G) \times_\rho \mathbb{R}^r$, associated to $P(M, G)$ via a faithful representation $\rho : G \to SO(r)$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ denote the Lie algebra of G. A connection on E_M is locally a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 1-form. Using Latin letters for the manifold indices, one may write a connection A in the form of $A = A_i dx^i$, where $A_i \in so(r)$. Using Greek letters for the bundle indices, one may also write $A = A^\alpha_{i\beta} dx^i$. The curvature of the connection A is locally a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 2-form

$$F = \frac{1}{2} F_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j = \frac{1}{2} F^\alpha_{ij\beta} dx^i \wedge dx^j,$$

and

$$F_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i + [A_i, A_j].$$

The Yang-Mills functional, defined on the space of connections, is given by

$$YM(A) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |F|^2 d\mu_g, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$|F|^2 = \frac{1}{2} g^{ik} g^{jl} < F_{ij}, F_{kl} >= \frac{1}{2} g^{ik} g^{jl} F^\alpha_{ij\beta} F^\alpha_{kl\beta},$$

and

$$F^\alpha_{ij\beta} = \partial_i A^\alpha_{j\beta} - \partial_j A^\alpha_{i\beta} + A^\alpha_{i\gamma} A^\gamma_{j\beta} - A^\alpha_{j\gamma} A^\gamma_{i\beta}.$$

Let ∇ denote the covariant differentiation on $\Gamma(E_M)$ associated to the connection A, and also the covariant differentiation on $\mathfrak{g}$-valued p-forms induced by A and the Levi-Civita connection of (M, g). Curvature F satisfies the Bianchi identity $d^\nabla F = 0$, where d^∇ denotes the covariant exterior differentiation. Let $(d^\nabla)^*$ denote the formal adjoint of d^∇. A connection A is a critical point of the Yang-Mills functional, called a Yang-Mills connection, if and only if it is a solution of the Yang-Mills equation $(d^\nabla)^* F = 0$. The Yang-Mills equation can also be written as

$$\nabla^p F^\alpha_{pj\beta} = 0.$$

In normal coordinates of (M, g), we have $\nabla^p F^\alpha_{pj\beta} = \partial_p F^\alpha_{pj\beta} + A^\alpha_{p\gamma} F^\gamma_{pj\beta} - F^\alpha_{pj\gamma} A^\gamma_{p\beta}$.

As the L^2-gradient flow of the Yang-Mills functional, the Yang-Mills flow is defined by

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = -(d^\nabla)^* F. \tag{2.2}$$

Assume $A(x,t)$ is a smooth solution to the Yang-Mills flow for $0 \leq t < T$ and as $t \to T$ the curvature blows up, i.e. $\limsup_{t\to T} \max_{x\in M} |F(x,t)| = \infty$. If there exists a positive constant C such that

$$|F(x,t)| \leq \frac{C}{T-t}, \tag{2.3}$$

one says that the Yang-Mills flow develops a Type-I singularity, or a rapidly forming singularity. Otherwise one says that the Yang-Mills flow develops a Type-II singularity. If (2.3) is satisfied and x_0 is a point such that $\limsup_{t\to T} |F(x_0,t)| = \infty$, we call (x_0,T) a Type-I singularity.

Let $A(x,t)$ be a smooth solution to the Yang-Mills flow and (x_0,T) a Type-I singularity. We now follow [22] introducing the blowup procedure around (x_0,T). Let $B_r(x_0)$ be a small geodesic ball centered at x_0 and of radius r over which E_M is trivial. For simplicity we identify $B_r(x_0)$ with the ball $B_r(0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let λ_i be a sequence of positive numbers tending to zero. For each i, one gets a Yang-Mills flow $A^{\lambda_i}(y,s)$ by setting

$$A^{\lambda_i}(y,s) = \lambda_i A_p(\lambda_i y, T+\lambda_i^2 s)dy^p, \quad y \in B_{r/\lambda_i}(0), s \in [-\lambda_i^{-2}T, 0). \tag{2.4}$$

(An alternative way of obtaining a sequence of blowups of $A(x,t)$ is to rescale the metric around the singular point x_0.) Let $x = \lambda_i y$ and $t = T + \lambda_i^2 s$. By the assumption (2.3), the curvature of A^{λ_i} satisfies

$$|F^{\lambda_i}(y,s)| = \lambda_i^2 |F(x,t)| = |s|^{-1}(T-t)|F(x,t)| \leq C|s|^{-1}.$$

Let $h = h^\alpha_\beta$ be a gauge transformation which acts on connections by

$$h^*\nabla = h^{-1} \circ \nabla \circ h,$$

or equivalently,

$$h^*A = h^{-1}dh + h^{-1}Ah.$$

Note that gauge transformations preserve Yang-Mills flows. Hence $h^*A^{\lambda_i}(y,s)$ defines a solution to the Yang-Mills flow. Weinkove [22] proved the following

Theorem 2.1. *Let (x_0,T) be a Type-I singularity of the Yang-Mills flow $A(x,t)$ over M. Then there exists a sequence of blowups $A^{\lambda_i}(y,s)$ defined by (2.4) and a sequence of gauge transformations h_i such that $h_i^* A^{\lambda_i}(y,s)$ converges smoothly on any compact set to a flow $\widetilde{A}(y,s)$. Here $\widetilde{A}(y,s)$, defined on a trivial G-vector bundle over $\mathbb{R}^n \times (-\infty, 0)$, is a solution to the Yang-Mills flow, which has non-zero curvature and satisfies*

$$\widetilde{\nabla}^p \widetilde{F}_{pj} - \frac{1}{2|s|} y^p \widetilde{F}_{pj} = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

In Theorem 2.1, h_i are chosen as suitable Coulomb gauge transformations so that for any $s < 0$ and $k \geq 1$, $|\nabla^k h_i^* A^{\lambda_i}|$ is uniformly bounded. The bounds do not depend on i. Hence for any $s < 0$ and $k \geq 1$, $|\nabla^k \widetilde{A}|$ is uniformly bounded.

A solution $A(y,s)$ to the Yang-Mills flow, defined on a trivial bundle over $\mathbb{R}^n \times (-\infty, 0)$, is called a homothetically shrinking soliton if it satisfies

$$A^\alpha_{i\beta}(y,s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|s|}} A^\alpha_{i\beta}\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{|s|}}, -1\right) \tag{2.6}$$

for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $s < 0$, for more details see [22]. The limiting Yang-Mills flow $\widetilde{A}(y,s)$ is actually a homothetically shrinking soliton. In fact via an exponential gauge for $\widetilde{A}(y,s)$, in which $y^p \widetilde{A}^{\alpha}_{p\beta} = 0$, (2.5) and (2.6) are equivalent for the Yang-Mills flow $\widetilde{A}(y,s)$.

One of the main ingredients of Theorem 2.1 is the monotonicity formula for the Yang-Mills flow, see [7, 12, 18]. In the simplest case that $A(x,t)$ is a solution to the Yang-Mills flow over $\mathbb{R}^n$, one can define

$$\Phi_{x_0,t_0}(A(x,t)) = (t_0 - t)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F(x,t)|^2 G_{x_0,t_0}(x,t)dx, \tag{2.7}$$

here $t_0 > 0, t \in [0, \min\{T, t_0\})$, and $G_{x_0,t_0}(x,t) = [4\pi(t_0 - t)]^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp(-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4(t_0-t)})$ is the backward heat kernel. The monotonicity formula of the Yang-Mills flow reads

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_{x_0,t_0}(A(x,t)) = -2(t_0 - t)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^p F_{pj} - \frac{1}{2(t_0 - t)}(x - x_0)^p F_{pj}|^2 G_{x_0,t_0}(x,t)dx. \tag{2.8}$$

The monotonicity Φ_{x_0,t_0} is non-increasing in t, and is preserved if and only if

$$\nabla^p F_{pj} - \frac{1}{2(t_0 - t)}(x - x_0)^p F_{pj} = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

For the limiting Yang-Mills flow $\widetilde{A}(y,s)$ obtained in Theorem 2.1 and any $(x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty)$, one can translate it into

$$A(x,t) = A_p(x,t)dx^p = \widetilde{A}_p(x - x_0, t - t_0)dx^p, \tag{2.10}$$

then $A(x,t)$ is a solution to the Yang-Mills flow and (2.9) is satisfied. On the other hand if a connection $A(x)$ on a trivial G-vector bundle over $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$\nabla^p F_{pj} - \frac{1}{2t_0}(x - x_0)^p F_{pj} = 0,$$

then, in the exponential gauge for $A(x)$, i.e. a gauge such that $(x - x_0)^p A_p(x) = 0$, the flow of connections given by

$$A_p(x,t) := \sqrt{\frac{t_0}{t_0 - t}} A_p(x_0 + \sqrt{\frac{t_0}{t_0 - t}}(x - x_0))$$

is a solution to the Yang-Mills flow which satisfies (2.9). All these amount to say that limiting flows $\widetilde{A}(y,s)$, homothetically shrinking solitons $A(x)$ and homothetically shrinking Yang-Mills flows are the same thing.

From now on we assume that E is a trivial G-vector bundle over $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2.1. *A connection $A(x)$ on E is called a homothetically shrinking soliton centered at (x_0, t_0) if it satisfies*

$$\nabla^p F_{pj} - \frac{1}{2t_0}(x - x_0)^p F_{pj} = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Let $A(x)$ be a homothetically shrinking soliton centered at (x_0, t_0) and $A(x,t)$ the Yang-Mills flow initiating from $A(x)$. In an exponential gauge such that $(x - x_0)^p A_p(x,t) = 0$, we have for any $\lambda > 0$ and any $t < t_0$ that $A_j(x,t) = \lambda A_j(\lambda(x - x_0) + x_0, \lambda^2(t - t_0) + t_0)$.

3. $\mathcal{F}$-FUNCTIONAL AND ITS FIRST VARIATION

In this section we define the $\mathcal{F}$-functional of connections on the trivial G-vector bundle E over $\mathbb{R}^n$. Homothetically shrinking solitons are critical points of the $\mathcal{F}$-functional. We shall prove necessary integral identities for homothetically shrinking solitons. As a corollary of one of these identities, we give a proof of the fact that the Yang-Mills flow in dimension four cannot develop a Type-I singularity.

For convenience, we set two $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 1-forms J and X, respectively, by

$$J := \nabla^p F_{pj} dx^j, \quad X := i_{x-x_0} F = (x-x_0)^p F_{pj} dx^j.$$

According to (2.11), $A(x)$ is a homothetically shrinking soliton centered at (x_0, t_0) if and only if

$$J = \frac{1}{2t_0} X.$$

We also set

(3.1)

$$\mathcal{S}_{x_0,t_0} = \{A(x) : A \text{ is a homothetically shrinking soliton with } \sup|\nabla^k A| < \infty, \forall k \geq 1\}.$$

Note that for any $k \geq 1$, any time-slice $\widetilde{A}(\cdot, s)$ in Theorem 2.1 satisfies $\sup|\nabla^k \widetilde{A}(\cdot, s)| < \infty$.

Definition 3.1. *For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, t_0 > 0$, the $\mathcal{F}$-functional with respect to (x_0, t_0) is defined by*

$$\mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}(A) = t_0^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F|^2 (4\pi t_0)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t_0}} dx. \tag{3.2}$$

We now compute the first variation of the $\mathcal{F}$-functional. Consider a differentiable 1-parameter family (x_s, t_s, A_s), where $A_0 = A$. Denote

$$\dot{t}_s = \frac{d}{ds} t_s, \quad \dot{x}_s = \frac{d}{ds} x_s, \quad \theta_s = \frac{d}{ds} A_s,$$

and

$$G_s(x) = (4\pi t_s)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-x_s|^2}{4t_s}}.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Assume $|\nabla^k A_s| < \infty$ for any $k \geq 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\theta_s|^2 + |\nabla \theta_s|^2) G_s dx < \infty$. The first variation of the $\mathcal{F}$-functional is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{F}_{x_s,t_s}(A_s) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \dot{t}_s \left(\frac{4-n}{2} t_s + \frac{1}{4}|x-x_s|^2\right) |F_s|^2 G_s(x) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} t_s < \dot{x}_s, x - x_s > |F_s|^2 G_s(x) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2t_s^2 < \theta_s, J_s - \frac{X_s}{2t_s} > G_s(x) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Note that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} G_s(x) = \left(-\frac{n}{2}\frac{\dot{t}_s}{t_s} + \frac{\dot{t}_s |x-x_s|^2}{4t_s^2} + \frac{< \dot{x}_s, x - x_s >}{2t_s}\right) G_s(x),$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} |F_s|^2 = F^{\alpha}_{ij\beta} (\nabla_i \theta^{\alpha}_{j\beta} - \nabla_j \theta^{\alpha}_{i\beta}),$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{ds}\mathcal{F}_{x_s,t_s}(A_s) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2t_s\dot{t}_s|F_s|^2G_s(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t_s^2F^\alpha_{ij\beta}(\nabla_i\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}-\nabla_j\theta^\alpha_{i\beta})G_s(x)dx\\ &\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t_s^2|F_s|^2(-\frac{n}{2}\frac{\dot{t}_s}{t_s}+\frac{\dot{t}_s|x-x_s|^2}{4t_s^2}+\frac{<\dot{x}_s,x-x_s>}{2t_s})G_s(x)dx\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2t_s\dot{t}_s|F_s|^2G_s(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2t_s^2F^\alpha_{ij\beta}\nabla_i\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}G_s(x)dx\\ &\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t_s^2|F_s|^2(-\frac{n}{2}\frac{\dot{t}_s}{t_s}+\frac{\dot{t}_s|x-x_s|^2}{4t_s^2}+\frac{<\dot{x}_s,x-x_s>}{2t_s})G_s(x)dx.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\eta(x)$ be a cutoff function on $\mathbb{R}^n$. By integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2t_s^2F^\alpha_{ij\beta}\nabla_i\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}G_s(x)\eta(x)dx\\ =&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -2t_s^2\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}[\nabla_iF^\alpha_{ij\beta}G_s\eta+F^\alpha_{ij\beta}\partial_i(G_s)\eta+F^\alpha_{ij\beta}G_s\partial_i\eta]dx\\ =&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -2t_s^2\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}[\nabla_iF^\alpha_{ij\beta}\eta-\frac{(x-x_s)^i}{2t_s}F^\alpha_{ij\beta}\eta+F^\alpha_{ij\beta}\partial_i\eta]G_sdx. \qquad (3.4)\end{aligned}$$

Let $\eta_l(x)=1$ for $|x|\le l$, and cut off to zero linearly on $B_{l+1}\setminus B_l$. Taking $\eta=\eta_l$ in (3.4) and applying the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2t_s^2F^\alpha_{ij\beta}\nabla_i\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}G_s(x)dx=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}[-2t_s^2\nabla_iF^\alpha_{ij\beta}+t_s(x-x_s)^iF^\alpha_{ij\beta}]G_sdx. \qquad (3.5)$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{ds}\mathcal{F}_{x_s,t_s}(A_s) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2t_s\dot{t}_s|F_s|^2G_s(x)dx\\ &\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}[-2t_s^2\nabla_iF^\alpha_{ij\beta}+t_s(x-x_s)^iF^\alpha_{ij\beta}]G_s(x)dx\\ &\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t_s^2|F_s|^2(-\frac{n}{2}\frac{\dot{t}_s}{t_s}+\frac{\dot{t}_s|x-x_s|^2}{4t_s^2}+\frac{<\dot{x}_s,x-x_s>}{2t_s})G_s(x)dx.\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\dot{t}_s(\frac{4-n}{2}t_s+\frac{1}{4}|x-x_s|^2)|F_s|^2G_s(x)dx\\ &\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{2}t_s<\dot{x}_s,x-x_s>|F_s|^2G_s(x)dx\\ &\quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}2t_s^2<\theta_s,J_s-\frac{X_s}{2t_s}>G_s(x)dx.\end{aligned}$$

□

From Proposition 3.1, we have the following

Corollary 3.1. *A connection $A(x)$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}$ if and only if $A(x)$ is a homothetically shrinking soliton centered at (x_0,t_0).*

We shall check that $(A(x),x_0,t_0)$ is a critical point of the $\mathcal{F}$-functional $(\widetilde{A},x,t)\mapsto F_{x,t}(\widetilde{A})$ if and only if $A(x)$ is a homothetically shrinking soliton centered at (x_0,t_0). To

check this we need some identities for homothetically shrinking solitons. We also need such identities in the calculation of the second variation of the $\mathcal{F}$-functional in the next section. Denote

$$G(x) = (4\pi t_0)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t_0}}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $A(x)$ be a homothetically shrinking soliton centered at (x_0, t_0) and $\sup|F(x)| < \infty$. Let $\varphi = \varphi^p \partial_p$ be a vector field on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|\varphi|$ is a polynomial in $|x - x_0|$, and V a vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi^p (x-x_0)^p |F|^2 G(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [2t_0 \partial_p(\varphi^p)|F|^2 - 4t_0 \partial_i \varphi^p F^\alpha_{pj\beta} F^\alpha_{ij\beta}] G(x) dx.$$

In particular,

(a) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-x_0|^2 |F|^2 G(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2(n-4) t_0 |F|^2 G(x) dx$;
(b) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (x-x_0)^k |F|^2 G(x) dx = 0$;
(c) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-x_0|^4 |F|^2 G(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [4(n-2)(n-4) t_0^2 |F|^2 - 32 t_0^3 |J|^2] G dx$;
(d) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-x_0|^2 < V, x-x_0 > |F|^2 G(x) dx = 0$;
(e) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} < x-x_0, V >^2 |F|^2 G dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (2t_0 |V|^2 |F|^2 - 4t_0 < V^i F_{ij}, V^p F_{pj} >) G dx$.

Proof. Let $\eta(x)$ be a cutoff function on $\mathbb{R}^n$. By integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi^p (x-x_0)^p |F|^2 G(x) \eta(x) dx \\ = & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -2t_0 \varphi^p |F|^2 \partial_p G(x) \eta(x) dx \\ = & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2t_0 [\partial_p(\varphi^p)|F|^2 \eta + \varphi^p \partial_p(|F|^2)\eta + \varphi^p |F|^2 \partial_p \eta] G(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 4t_0 \varphi^p F^\alpha_{pj\beta} J^\alpha_{j\beta} G \eta dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 4t_0 \varphi^p [\nabla_i (F^\alpha_{pj\beta} F^\alpha_{ij\beta}) - \nabla_i F^\alpha_{pj\beta} F^\alpha_{ij\beta}] G \eta dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -4t_0 F^\alpha_{pj\beta} F^\alpha_{ij\beta} [\partial_i \varphi^p - \frac{(x-x_0)^i}{2t_0} \varphi^p] G \eta dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2t_0 \varphi^p (\nabla_i F^\alpha_{pj\beta} F^\alpha_{ij\beta} + \nabla_j F^\alpha_{ip\beta} F^\alpha_{ij\beta}) G \eta dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 4t_0 \varphi^p F^\alpha_{pj\beta} F^\alpha_{ij\beta} G \partial_i \eta dx. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows from the Bianchi identity that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 4t_0 \varphi^p F^\alpha_{pj\beta} J^\alpha_{j\beta} G \eta dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -4t_0 F^\alpha_{pj\beta} F^\alpha_{ij\beta} [\partial_i \varphi^p - \frac{(x-x_0)^i}{2t_0} \varphi^p] G \eta dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2t_0 \varphi^p \nabla_p F^\alpha_{ij\beta} F^\alpha_{ij\beta} G \eta dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 4t_0 \varphi^p F^\alpha_{pj\beta} F^\alpha_{ij\beta} G \partial_i \eta dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -4t_0 F^\alpha_{pj\beta} F^\alpha_{ij\beta} [\partial_i \varphi^p - \frac{(x-x_0)^i}{2t_0} \varphi^p] G \eta dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2t_0 \varphi^p \partial_p(|F|^2) G \eta dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 4t_0 \varphi^p F^\alpha_{pj\beta} F^\alpha_{ij\beta} G \partial_i \eta dx, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2t_0\varphi^p\partial_p(|F|^2)G\eta dx &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 4t_0\varphi^p F^\alpha_{pj\beta}J^\alpha_{j\beta}G\eta dx\\ &\quad -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 4t_0F^\alpha_{pj\beta}F^\alpha_{ij\beta}[\partial_i\varphi^p-\frac{(x-x_0)^i}{2t_0}\varphi^p]G\eta dx\\ &\quad -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 4t_0\varphi^pF^\alpha_{pj\beta}F^\alpha_{ij\beta}G\partial_i\eta dx.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi^p(x-x_0)^p|F|^2G(x)\eta(x)dx\\ =\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}2t_0[\partial_p(\varphi^p)|F|^2\eta+\varphi^p\partial_p(|F|^2)\eta+\varphi^p|F|^2\partial_p\eta]G(x)dx\\ =\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}2t_0\partial_p(\varphi^p)|F|^2G\eta dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}4t_0\varphi^pF^\alpha_{pj\beta}J^\alpha_{j\beta}G\eta dx\\ &-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}4t_0F^\alpha_{pj\beta}F^\alpha_{ij\beta}[\partial_i\varphi^p-\frac{(x-x_0)^i}{2t_0}\varphi^p]G\eta dx\\ &-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}4t_0\varphi^pF^\alpha_{pj\beta}F^\alpha_{ij\beta}G\partial_i\eta dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}2t_0\varphi^p|F|^2G\partial_p\eta dx\\ =\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}[2t_0\partial_p(\varphi^p)|F|^2-4t_0\partial_i\varphi^pF^\alpha_{pj\beta}F^\alpha_{ij\beta}]G\eta dx\\ &-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}4t_0\varphi^pF^\alpha_{pj\beta}(J^\alpha_{j\beta}-\frac{1}{2t_0}X^\alpha_{j\beta})G\eta dx\\ &-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}4t_0\varphi^pF^\alpha_{pj\beta}F^\alpha_{ij\beta}G\partial_i\eta dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}2t_0\varphi^p|F|^2G\partial_p\eta dx.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore for a homothetically shrinking soliton centered at (x_0,t_0),

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi^p(x-x_0)^p|F|^2G\eta dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}[2t_0\partial_p(\varphi^p)|F|^2-4t_0\partial_i\varphi^pF^\alpha_{pj\beta}F^\alpha_{ij\beta}]G\eta dx\\ &\quad -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}4t_0\varphi^pF^\alpha_{pj\beta}F^\alpha_{ij\beta}G\partial_i\eta dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}2t_0\varphi^p|F|^2G\partial_p\eta dx.\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

Applying to (3.6) with $\eta(x)=\eta_l(x)$, where $\eta_l(x)=1$ for $|x|\le l$ and is cut off to zero linearly on $B_{l+1}\setminus B_l$, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi^p(x-x_0)^p|F|^2Gdx=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}[2t_0\partial_p(\varphi^p)|F|^2-4t_0\partial_i\varphi^pF^\alpha_{pj\beta}F^\alpha_{ij\beta}]Gdx.\tag{3.7}$$

Taking $\varphi^p=(x-x_0)^p$, by (3.7) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|x-x_0|^2|F|^2G(x)dx=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}2(n-4)t_0|F|^2G(x)dx.$$

Taking $\varphi^p=\delta^p_k$, by (3.7) we get for any $k=1,\cdots,n$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x-x_0)^k|F|^2G(x)dx=0.$$

Taking $\varphi^p = |x-x_0|^2(x-x_0)^p$, by (3.7) and (a) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-x_0|^4|F|^2G(x)dx\\ =\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [2t_0(n+2)|x-x_0|^2|F|^2 - 8t_0|x-x_0|^2|F|^2 - 8t_0|X|^2]Gdx\\ =\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [4(n-2)(n-4)t_0^2|F|^2 - 32t_0^3|J|^2]Gdx.\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\varphi^p = |x-x_0|^2V^p$, by (3.7) and (b) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-x_0|^2 < V, x-x_0 > |F|^2G(x)dx \ = \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -16t_0^2 < J_j, V^pF_{pj} > Gdx.$$

On the other hand taking $\varphi^p =< V, x-x_0 > (x-x_0)^p$, by (3.7) and (b) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-x_0|^2 < V, x-x_0 > |F|^2G(x)dx \ = \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -8t_0^2 < J_j, V^iF_{ij} > Gdx.$$

Thus we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-x_0|^2 < V, x-x_0 > |F|^2G(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} < J_j, V^pF_{pj} > Gdx = 0.$$

Taking $\varphi^p =< V, x-x_0 > V^p$, by (3.7) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} < x-x_0, V >^2 |F|^2Gdx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (2t_0|V|^2|F|^2 - 4t_0 < V^iF_{ij}, V^pF_{pj} >)Gdx.$$

□

By the first variation formula (3.3), (a) and (b) of Lemma 3.2 we get the following

Corollary 3.2. *$(A(x), x_0, t_0)$ is a critical point of the $\mathcal{F}$-functional if and only if $A(x)$ is a homothetically shrinking soliton centered at (x_0, t_0).*

Corollary 3.3. *When $n = 2, 3,$ or 4, there exists no homothetically shrinking soliton such that $|F|$ is uniformly bounded and not identically zero. In particular in dimension four, the Yang-Mills flow on E_M cannot develop a singularity of Type-I.*

Proof. The first part follows from Lemma 3.2 (a). By Weinkove's result [22], see also Section 2, at a Type-I singularity of a Yang-Mills flow one can obtain a homothetically shrinking soliton on a trivial G-vector bundle over $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose curvature is uniformly bounded and non-zero. Therefore in dimension four if a Type-I singularity occurs, it would contradict with the non-existence of such a homothetically shrinking soliton. □

4. Second variation of $\mathcal{F}$-functional

We now compute the second variation of the $\mathcal{F}$-functional at a homothetically shrinking soliton $A(x)$. Let d^∇ denote the covariant exterior differentiation on $\mathfrak{g}$-valued forms and $(d^\nabla)^*$ denote the formal adjoint of d^∇. For a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 1-form θ, let

$$\mathcal{R}(\theta_j) = \mathcal{R}(\theta)(\partial_j) := [F_{ij}, \theta_i], \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$L\theta := -t_0[(d^\nabla)^*d^\nabla\theta + \mathcal{R}(\theta) + i_{\frac{1}{2t_0}(x-x_0)}d^\nabla\theta]. \tag{4.2}$$

We also introduce the space

$$W^{2,2}_G := \{\theta : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\theta|^2 + |\nabla\theta|^2 + |L\theta|^2)G(x)dx < \infty\}. \tag{4.3}$$

Denote

$$\dot{t}_s|_{s=0} = q, \quad \dot{x}_s|_{s=0} = V, \quad \theta = \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0}A_s,$$

$$\mathcal{F}''_{x_0,t_0}(q,V,\theta) = \frac{d^2}{ds^2}|_{s=0}\mathcal{F}_{x_s,t_s}(A_s).$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let $A(x)$ be a homothetically shrinking soliton in $\mathcal{S}_{x_0,t_0}$, see (3.1). Then for any $\theta \in W^{2,2}_G$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{2t_0}\mathcal{F}''_{x_0,t_0}(q,V,\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} < -L\theta - 2qJ - i_V F, \theta > Gdx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (q^2|J|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|i_V F|^2)Gdx. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{ds}\mathcal{F}_{x_s,t_s}(A_s) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \dot{t}_s(\frac{4-n}{2}t_s + \frac{1}{4}|x-x_s|^2)|F_s|^2G_s(x)dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2}t_s < \dot{x}_s, x - x_s > |F_s|^2G_s(x)dx \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2t_s^2 < J_s - \frac{X_s}{2t_s}, \theta_s > G_s(x)dx.\end{aligned}$$

By the assumption that $A(x) \in \mathcal{S}_{x_0,t_0}$ and Lemma 3.2 (a, b), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}''_{x_0,t_0}(q,V,\theta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [q(\frac{4-n}{2}q - \frac{1}{2} < x - x_0, V >) + \frac{1}{2}t_0 < V, -V >]|F|^2Gdx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [q(\frac{4-n}{2}t_0 + \frac{1}{4}|x-x_0|^2) + \frac{1}{2}t_0 < V, x - x_0 >]\frac{\partial|F_s|^2}{\partial s}|_{s=0}Gdx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [q(\frac{4-n}{2}t_0 + \frac{1}{4}|x-x_0|^2) + \frac{1}{2}t_0 < V, x - x_0 >]|F|^2\frac{\partial G_s}{\partial s}|_{s=0}dx \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2t_0^2 < \frac{\partial}{\partial s}|_{s=0}(J_s - \frac{X_s}{2t_s}), \theta > Gdx.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\frac{\partial|F_s|^2}{\partial s}|_{s=0} = F^\alpha_{ij\beta}(\nabla_i\theta^\alpha_{j\beta} - \nabla_j\theta^\alpha_{i\beta}) = 2F^\alpha_{ij\beta}\nabla_i\theta^\alpha_{j\beta},$$

$$\frac{\partial G_s}{\partial s}|_{s=0} = (-\frac{n}{2}\frac{q}{t_0} + \frac{q|x-x_0|^2}{4t_0^2} + \frac{< V, x - x_0 >}{2t_0})G(x),$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}|_{s=0}J^\alpha_{j\beta} = \nabla_p\nabla_p\theta^\alpha_{j\beta} - \nabla_p\nabla_j\theta^\alpha_{p\beta} + \theta^\alpha_{p\gamma}F^\gamma_{pj\beta} - F^\alpha_{pj\gamma}\theta^\gamma_{p\beta},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}|_{s=0}(-\frac{1}{2t_s}X^\alpha_{j\beta}) = \frac{q}{2t_0^2}X^\alpha_{j\beta} + \frac{1}{2t_0}V^kF^\alpha_{kj\beta} - \frac{1}{2t_0}(x-x_0)^k(\nabla_k\theta^\alpha_{j\beta} - \nabla_j\theta^\alpha_{k\beta}).$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}''_{x_0,t_0}(q,V,\theta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}[q(\frac{4-n}{2}q-\frac{1}{2}<x-x_0,V>)-\frac{1}{2}t_0|V|^2]|F|^2Gdx\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}[q(\frac{4-n}{2}t_0+\frac{1}{4}|x-x_0|^2)+\frac{1}{2}t_0<V,x-x_0>]2F^\alpha_{ij\beta}\nabla_i\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}Gdx\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}[q(\frac{4-n}{2}t_0+\frac{1}{4}|x-x_0|^2)+\frac{1}{2}t_0<V,x-x_0>]|F|^2\\
&\quad\times(-\frac{n}{2}\frac{q}{t_0}+\frac{q|x-x_0|^2}{4t_0^2}+\frac{<V,x-x_0>}{2t_0})Gdx\\
&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}2t_0^2[\nabla_p(\nabla_p\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}-\nabla_j\theta^\alpha_{p\beta})+\theta^\alpha_{p\gamma}F^\gamma_{pj\beta}-F^\alpha_{pj\gamma}\theta^\gamma_{p\beta}]\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}Gdx\\
&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}2t_0^2[\frac{q}{2t_0^2}X^\alpha_{j\beta}+\frac{1}{2t_0}V^kF^\alpha_{kj\beta}-\frac{1}{2t_0}(x-x_0)^k(\nabla_k\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}-\nabla_j\theta^\alpha_{k\beta})]\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}Gdx.
\end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}[q(\frac{4-n}{2}t_0+\frac{1}{4}|x-x_0|^2)+\frac{1}{2}t_0<V,x-x_0>]2F^\alpha_{ij\beta}\nabla_i\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}Gdx\\
=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}-2[q(\frac{4-n}{2}t_0+\frac{1}{4}|x-x_0|^2)+\frac{1}{2}t_0<V,x-x_0>]<J-\frac{1}{2t_0}X,\theta>Gdx\\
&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}2[\frac{1}{2}q(x-x_0)^i+\frac{1}{2}t_0V^i]F^\alpha_{ij\beta}\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}Gdx\\
=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}[-q(x-x_0)^i-t_0V^i]F^\alpha_{ij\beta}\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}Gdx.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by using Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}''_{x_0,t_0}(q,V,\theta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}[\frac{4-n}{2}q^2-\frac{1}{2}t_0|V|^2]|F|^2Gdx\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}[-q(x-x_0)^i-t_0V^i]F^\alpha_{ij\beta}\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}Gdx\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}[\frac{n-4}{2}q^2|F|^2-2t_0q^2|J|^2]Gdx\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{4}(2t_0|V|^2|F|^2-4t_0<V^iF_{ij},V^pF_{pj}>)Gdx\\
&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}2t_0^2[\nabla_p(\nabla_p\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}-\nabla_j\theta^\alpha_{p\beta})+\theta^\alpha_{p\gamma}F^\gamma_{pj\beta}-F^\alpha_{pj\gamma}\theta^\gamma_{p\beta}]\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}Gdx\\
&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}[q(x-x_0)^i+t_0V^i]F^\alpha_{ij\beta}\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}Gdx\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}t_0(x-x_0)^k(\nabla_k\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}-\nabla_j\theta^\alpha_{k\beta})\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}Gdx.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}''_{x_0,t_0}(q,V,\theta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}[-2q(x-x_0)^i-2t_0V^i]F^\alpha_{ij\beta}\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}Gdx\\ &\quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}2t_0q^2|J|^2Gdx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}t_0<V^iF_{ij},V^pF_{pj}>)Gdx\\ &\quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}2t_0^2[\nabla_p(\nabla_p\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}-\nabla_j\theta^\alpha_{p\beta})+\theta^\alpha_{p\gamma}F^\gamma_{pj\beta}-F^\alpha_{pj\gamma}\theta^\gamma_{p\beta}]\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}Gdx\\ &\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}t_0(x-x_0)^k(\nabla_k\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}-\nabla_j\theta^\alpha_{k\beta})\theta^\alpha_{j\beta}Gdx.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}(d^\nabla)^*d^\nabla\theta_j&=-\nabla_p(\nabla_p\theta_j-\nabla_j\theta_p),\\ \mathcal{R}(\theta_j)&=[F_{pj},\theta_p]=F_{pj}\theta_p-\theta_pF_{pj},\\ i_{x-x_0}d^\nabla\theta_j&=(x-x_0)^k(\nabla_k\theta_j-\nabla_j\theta_k),\end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}''_{x_0,t_0}(q,V,\theta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}2t_0^2<(d^\nabla)^*d^\nabla\theta_j+\mathcal{R}(\theta_j)+i_{\frac{1}{2t_0}(x-x_0)}d^\nabla\theta_j,\theta_j>Gdx\\ &\quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}2t_0<2qJ_j+V^iF_{ij},\theta_j>Gdx\\ &\quad-2t_0\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(q^2|J|^2+\frac{1}{2}|i_VF|^2)Gdx.\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$L=-t_0[(d^\nabla)^*d^\nabla+\mathcal{R}+i_{\frac{1}{2t_0}(x-x_0)}d^\nabla],$$

then we have

$$\frac{1}{2t_0}\mathcal{F}''_{x_0,t_0}(q,V,\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}<-L\theta-2qJ-i_VF,\theta>Gdx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(q^2|J|^2+\frac{1}{2}|i_VF|^2)Gdx.$$

□

5. F-stability and its characterization

In this section we define the F-stability for homothetically shrinking solitons in $\mathcal{S}_{x_0,t_0}$. The operator L admits eigenfields J and i_VF of eigenvalues -1 and $-\frac{1}{2}$, respectively. F-stability is equivalent to the semi-positiveness of L modulo the vector space spanned by J and i_VF. Let $C_0^\infty(\Omega^1\otimes\mathfrak{g})$, or simply C_0^∞, denote the space of $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 1-forms with compact supports on $\mathbb{R}^n$. The space C_0^∞ is dense in $W^{2,2}_G$.

Definition 5.1. *A homothetically shrinking soliton $A\in\mathcal{S}_{x_0,t_0}$ is called F-stable if for any θ in C_0^∞, or equivalently in $W^{2,2}_G$, there exist a real number q and a vector V such that*

$$\mathcal{F}''_{x_0,t_0}(q,V,\theta)\geq 0.$$

Given a homothetically shrinking soliton $A \in \mathcal{S}_{x_0,t_0}$ with an exponential gauge, the rescaling

$$\widetilde{A}_i(x) = \sqrt{t_0} A_i(\sqrt{t_0}x + x_0)$$

is a homothetically shrinking soliton in $\mathcal{S}_{0,1}$. Without loss of generality, in the remaining of this section we let $x_0 = 0$ and $t_0 = 1$. Then

$$G(x) = (4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}$$

and

$$L\theta = -[(d^\nabla)^* d^\nabla \theta + \mathcal{R}(\theta) + i_{\frac{x}{2}} d^\nabla \theta].$$

The operator L is self-adjoint in the following sense: for any $\theta, \eta \in W^{2,2}_G$,

(5.1)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} < L\theta, \eta > G dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [< d^\nabla \theta, d^\nabla \eta > + < \mathcal{R}(\theta), \eta >] G dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} < \theta, L\eta > G dx.$$

A $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 1-form $\theta \in W^{2,2}_G$ is called an eigenfield of L and of eigenvalue λ if $L\theta = -\lambda\theta$. We denote the eigenfield space of eigenvalue λ by E_λ.

Proposition 5.1. *Let A be a homothetically shrinking soliton in $\mathcal{S}_{0,1}$. Then*

$$LJ = J, \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$L(i_V F) = \frac{1}{2} i_V F, \quad \forall V \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. Note that

$$J_j = \nabla_p F_{pj} = \frac{1}{2} x^p F_{pj},$$

$$L = -(d^\nabla)^* d^\nabla - \mathcal{R} - i_{\frac{x}{2}} d^\nabla,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} LJ_j &= \nabla_p \nabla_p J_j - \nabla_p \nabla_j J_p - [F_{pj}, J_p] - \frac{1}{2}(d^\nabla J)(x^p \partial_p, \partial_j) \\ &= \nabla_p \nabla_p J_j - \nabla_p \nabla_j J_p - [F_{pj}, J_p] - \frac{1}{2} x^p (\nabla_p J_j - \nabla_j J_p). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\nabla_p J_j = \nabla_p (\frac{1}{2} x^q F_{qj}) = \frac{1}{2} F_{pj} + \frac{1}{2} x^q \nabla_p F_{qj},$$

then

$$\nabla_p \nabla_j J_p = \nabla_p (-\frac{1}{2} F_{pj} + \frac{1}{2} x^q \nabla_j F_{qp}) = -\frac{1}{2} J_j - \frac{1}{2} x^q \nabla_p \nabla_j F_{pq},$$

and by using the Bianchi identity and the Ricci formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_p\nabla_p J_j &= \nabla_p F_{pj} + \frac{1}{2}x^q\nabla_p\nabla_p F_{qj}\\ &= \nabla_p F_{pj} + \frac{1}{2}x^q\nabla_p(-\nabla_q F_{jp} - \nabla_j F_{pq})\\ &= \nabla_p F_{pj} - \frac{1}{2}x^q(\nabla_q\nabla_p F_{jp} + F_{pq}F_{jp} - F_{jp}F_{pq}) - \frac{1}{2}x^q\nabla_p\nabla_j F_{pq}\\ &= J_j + \frac{1}{2}x^q\nabla_q J_j + [J_p, F_{jp}] - \frac{1}{2}x^q\nabla_p\nabla_j F_{pq}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$LJ_j = \frac{3}{2}J_j + \frac{1}{2}x^p\nabla_j J_p.$$

The identity (5.2) then follows from

$$\frac{1}{2}x^p\nabla_j J_p = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_j(x^p J_p) - \frac{1}{2}J_j = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_j(x^p\frac{1}{2}x^q F_{qp}) - \frac{1}{2}J_j = -\frac{1}{2}J_j.$$

We now prove (5.3). By using the Bianchi identity and the Ricci formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_p\nabla_p(V^q F_{qj}) &= V^q\nabla_p(-\nabla_q F_{jp} - \nabla_j F_{pq})\\ &= -V^q(\nabla_q\nabla_p F_{jp} + F_{pq}F_{jp} - F_{jp}F_{pq}) - V^q\nabla_p\nabla_j F_{pq}\\ &= V^q\nabla_q(\frac{1}{2}x^p F_{pj}) + [V^q F_{qp}, F_{jp}] + \nabla_p\nabla_j(V^q F_{qp}),\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}L(V^q F_{qj}) &= \nabla_p\nabla_p(V^q F_{qj}) - \nabla_p\nabla_j(V^q F_{qp}) - [F_{pj}, V^q F_{qp}]\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}x^p[\nabla_p(V^q F_{qj}) - \nabla_j(V^q F_{qp})]\\ &= V^q\nabla_q(\frac{1}{2}x^p F_{pj}) - \frac{1}{2}x^p[\nabla_p(V^q F_{qj}) - \nabla_j(V^q F_{qp})]\\ &= \frac{1}{2}V^q F_{qj} + \frac{1}{2}x^p V^q(\nabla_q F_{pj} + \nabla_p F_{jq} + \nabla_j F_{qp})\\ &= \frac{1}{2}V^q F_{qj}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 5.1. *Let A be a homothetically shrinking soliton in $\mathcal{S}_{0,1}$. If $|F|^2 < \frac{n}{2(n-1)}$, then (E, A) is flat.*

Proof. Note that $J_j = \nabla^p F_{pj} = \frac{1}{2}x^p F_{pj}$. By integration by parts, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} < (d^\nabla)^* d^\nabla J + i_{\frac{x}{2}} d^\nabla J, J > G dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |d^\nabla J|^2 G dx.$$

On the other hand by (5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} < (d^\nabla)^* d^\nabla J + i_{\frac{x}{2}} d^\nabla J, J > G dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} < -LJ - \mathcal{R}(J), J > G dx\\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |J|^2 G dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} < [F_{ij}, J_i], J_j > G dx.\end{aligned}$$

For any $B, C \in so(r)$, we have $|[B,C]| \leq |B||C|$, see Lemma 2.30 in [3]. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |<[F_{ij},J_i],J_j>| &\leq |F_{ij}||J_i||J_j| = 2\sum_{i<j}|F_{ij}||J_i||J_j| \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{\sum_{i<j}|F_{ij}|^2}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(|J|^4-\sum_k|J_k|^4)} \\ &\leq 2|F|\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{n})|J|^4} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}|F||J|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|d^\nabla J|^2Gdx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\sqrt{\frac{2(n-1)}{n}}|F|-1)|J|^2Gdx.$$

If $|F|^2 < \frac{n}{2(n-1)}$, one then gets $J=0$. Note that if $A \in \mathcal{S}_{0,1}$ has $J=0$, then for any $t_0>0$ we have $J = \frac{1}{2t_0}X$. Hence Lemma 3.2 (a) holds for any $t_0>0$ and F vanishes. □

Theorem 5.2. *Let A be a homothetically shrinking soliton in $\mathcal{S}_{0,1}$. Then it is F-stable if and only if the following properties are satisfied*

(1) $E_{-1} = \{cJ, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}\}$;
(2) $E_{-\frac{1}{2}} = \{i_VF, \quad V \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$;
(3) $E_\lambda = \{0\}$, *for any* $\lambda < 0$ *and* $\lambda \neq -1, -\frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. Let θ be a $\mathfrak{g}$-value 1-form in $W^{2,2}_G$ of the form

$$\theta = aJ + i_WF + \widetilde{\theta}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, W \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}<\widetilde{\theta},J>Gdx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}<\widetilde{\theta},i_VF>Gdx = 0, \quad \forall V \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then it follows from Proposition 4.1, Proposition 5.1 and (5.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}''_{0,1}(q,V,\theta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}<-L\theta-2qJ-i_VF,\theta>Gdx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(q^2|J|^2+\frac{1}{2}|i_VF|^2)Gdx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}<-aJ-\frac{1}{2}i_WF-L\widetilde{\theta},aJ+i_WF+\widetilde{\theta}>Gdx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}<-2qJ-i_VF,aJ+i_WF+\widetilde{\theta}>Gdx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(q^2|J|^2+\frac{1}{2}|i_VF|^2)Gdx \\ &= -(a+q)^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|J|^2Gdx - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|i_{V+W}F|^2Gdx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}<-L\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\theta}>Gdx \end{aligned}$$

Let $q=-a$, $V=-W$, one has the equivalence. □

6. Entropy and entropy-stability

We now introduce λ-entropy of connections on the trivial G-vector bundle E over $\mathbb{R}^n$. We shall show that along the Yang-Mills flow, the entropy is non-increasing. We also prove that the entropy of a homothetically shrinking soliton $A(x) \in \mathcal{S}_{x_0,t_0}$ is achieved exactly by $\mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}(A)$, provided that $i_V F \neq 0$ for any non-zero vector $V \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 6.1. *Let $A(x)$ be a connection on E. We define the entropy by*

$$\lambda(A) = \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, t_0 > 0} \mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}(A). \tag{6.1}$$

We first consider the invariance property of the entropy.

Proposition 6.1. *The entropy λ is invariant under translations and rescalings.*

Proof. Let $A(x)$ be a connection on E. A translation of $A(x)$ is a new connection, denoted by $\widetilde{A}(x)$, of the form

$$\widetilde{A}_i(x) = A_i(x + x_1),$$

where x_1 is a point in $\mathbb{R}^n$. For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t_0 > 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{x_0 - x_1, t_0}(\widetilde{A}) = \mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}(A).$$

Hence

$$\lambda(\widetilde{A}) = \lambda(A).$$

A rescaling of $A(x)$ is a new connection, denoted by $A^c(x)$ of the form

$$A^c_i(x) = c^{-1} A_i(c^{-1}x),$$

where c is a positive number. Then, by setting $y = c^{-1}x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{cx_0,c^2t_0}(A^c) &= (c^2t_0)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F^c(x)|^2 (4\pi c^2 t_0)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-cx_0|^2}{4c^2t_0}} dx \\
&= (c^2t_0)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} c^{-4} |F(c^{-1}x)|^2 (4\pi c^2 t_0)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-cx_0|^2}{4c^2t_0}} dx \\
&= (c^2t_0)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} c^{-4} |F(y)|^2 (4\pi c^2 t_0)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|cy-cx_0|^2}{4c^2t_0}} c^n dy \\
&= t_0^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F(y)|^2 (4\pi t_0)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|y-x_0|^2}{4t_0}} dy \\
&= \mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}(A).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lambda(A^c) = \lambda(A).$$

□

In the case that $A(x)$ is a homothetically shrinking soliton, Proposition 6.1 explains why in Theorem 5.2 J and $i_V F$ do not violate the F-stability.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $A(x,t)$ be a solution to the Yang-Mills flow on E. Then the entropy $\lambda(A(x,t))$ is non-increasing in t.*

Proof. Let $t_1 < t_2 < T$. Here T denotes the first singular time of the Yang-Mills flow. By (6.1), for any given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists (x_0, t_0) such that

$$\lambda(A(x,t_2)) - \epsilon \leq \mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}(A(x,t_2)). \tag{6.2}$$

Note that for any $c > 0$ and $0 \leq t < T$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{x_0,c}(A(x,t)) = \Phi_{x_0,c+t}(A(x,t)). \tag{6.3}$$

By (6.3), the monotonicity formula (2.8), and the definition of entropy, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}(A(x,t_2)) &= \Phi_{x_0,t_0+t_2}(A(x,t_2)) \\ &\leq \Phi_{x_0,t_0+t_2}(A(x,t_1)) = \mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0+t_2-t_1}(A(x,t_1)) \\ &\leq \lambda(A(x,t_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Together with (6.2), we see that $\lambda(A(x,t_2)) \leq \lambda(A(x,t_1))$. □

Definition 6.2. *A homothetically shrinking soliton $A(x)$ is called entropy-stable if it is a local minimum of the entropy, among all perturbations $\widetilde{A}(x)$ such that $||\widetilde{A} - A||_{C^1}$ is sufficiently small.*

In general the entropy $\lambda(A)$ is not attained by any $\mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}(A)$. However if $A \in \mathcal{S}_{x_0,t_0}$ and $i_V F \neq 0$ for any $V \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we will show that $\lambda(A)$ is attained exactly by $\mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}(A)$. We first examine the geometric meaning of $i_V F = 0$ in the case that $A(x)$ is a homothetically shrinking solition.

Proposition 6.3. *If $A(x)$ is a homothetically shrinking soliton satisfying $i_V F = 0$ for some non-zero vector V, then $A(x)$ is defined on a hyperplane perpendicular to V.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume $A(x)$ is centered at $(0,1)$ and let $A(x,t)$ be the homothetically shrinking Yang-Mills flow with $A(x,0) = A(x)$. In the exponential gauge, i.e. a gauge such that $x^j A_j(x) = 0$, we have for any $t < 1$ and $\lambda > 0$ that

$$A_j(x,t) = \lambda A_j(\lambda x, \lambda^2(t-1)+1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t}} A_j(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1-t}}, 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t}} A_j(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1-t}}) \tag{6.4}$$

and

$$F_{ij}(x,t) = \frac{1}{1-t} F_{ij}(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1-t}}). \tag{6.5}$$

Moreover the exponential gauge is uniform for all $t < 1$, i.e. $x^j A_j(x,t) = 0$.

By assumption we have $i_V F(x) = 0$. For simplicity let $V = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}$. Then by (6.5) we have

$$F_{jl}(x,t) = 0, \quad \forall j.$$

Note that $A(x,t)$ is a homothetically shrinking Yang-Mills flow, hence

$$J_l(x,t) = \frac{1}{2(1-t)} x^j F_{jl}(x,t) = 0.$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_l(x,t) = J_l(x,t) = 0.$$

In particular,

$$A_l(x,t') = A_l(x,t), \quad \forall t, t' < 1.$$

Then by (6.4), we have for any $\lambda > 0$ and $t < 1$ that

$$A_l(x,t) = \lambda A_l(\lambda x, \lambda^2(t-1)+1) = \lambda A_l(\lambda x, t).$$

Letting $\lambda \to 0$, we see that

$$A_l(x,t) = 0. \tag{6.6}$$

Note that

$$0 = F_{lj}(x,t) = \partial_l A_j - \partial_j A_l + A_l A_j - A_j A_l = \partial_l A_j(x,t),$$

so for any j, we have

$$\partial_l A_j(x,t) = 0$$

and

$$A_j(x+cV,t) = A_j(x,t), \quad \forall c \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{6.7}$$

For example if $V = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}$, then by (6.6) and (6.7) we have

$$A(x^1, \cdots, x^{n-1}, x^n, t) = A_1(x^1, \cdots, x^{n-1}, 0, t)dx^1 + \cdots + A_{n-1}(x^1, \cdots, x^{n-1}, 0, t)dx^{n-1}.$$

In particular for $V = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}$ and in the exponential gauge, we have

$$A(x^1, \cdots, x^{n-1}, x^n) = A_1(x^1, \cdots, x^{n-1}, 0)dx^1 + \cdots + A_{n-1}(x^1, \cdots, x^{n-1}, 0)dx^{n-1}. \tag{6.8}$$

This means that $A(x)$ is defined on a hyperplane perpendicular to V, i.e. $A(x)$ descends to a trivial G-vector bundle over a hyperplane $V^{\perp}$. □

The following Proposition is analogous to a corresponding result for self-shrinkers of the mean curvature flow, see [8]. We follow closely the arguments given in [8].

Proposition 6.4. *Let $A(x)$ be a homothetically shrinking soliton centered at $(0,1)$ such that $i_V F \neq 0$ for any non-zero V. Then the function $(x_0,t_0) \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}(A)$ attains its strict maximum at $(0,1)$. In fact for any given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\sup\{\mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}(A) : |x_0| + |\log t_0| \geq \epsilon\} < \lambda(A) - \delta. \tag{6.9}$$

In particular, the entropy of A is achieved by $\mathcal{F}_{0,1}(A)$.

Proof. We first show that $(0,1)$ is a local maximum of the function $(x_0,t_0) \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{x_0,t_0}(A)$. That is to show

$$\mathcal{F}'_{0,1}(q,V,0) = 0, \quad \forall q, V,$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}''_{0,1}(q,V,0) < 0, \quad \forall (q,V) \neq (0,0).$$

In fact by the first variation formula (3.3) and Lemma 3.2 (a, b), we have

$$\frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0}\mathcal{F}_{x_s,t_s}(A) = \mathcal{F}'_{0,1}(q,V,0) = 0.$$

Let $x_s = sV, t_s = 1 + sq$. Note that $J \neq 0$, otherwise F would be vanishing, as showed in the proof of Corollary 5.1, which violates the assumption that $i_V F \neq 0$ for any non-zero V. Then by the second variation formula (4.4), we have for any $(q,V) \neq (0,0)$ that

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}''_{0,1}(q,V,0) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (q^2|J|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|i_V F|^2)G dx < 0.$$

For any fixed (y,T), where $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $T > 0$, we set

$$x_s = sy, \quad t_s = 1 + (T-1)s^2.$$

Note that $(x_s, t_s), s \in [0,1]$, is a path from $(0,1)$ to (y,T). Let

$$g(s) = \mathcal{F}_{x_s,t_s}(A).$$

The remaining of the proof is to show that $g'(s) \leq 0$ for $s \in [0,1]$.

By the first variation formula (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g'(s) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \dot{t}_s(\frac{4-n}{2}t_s + \frac{1}{4}|x-x_s|^2)|F|^2 G_s(x)dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2}t_s < \dot{x}_s, x-x_s > |F|^2 G_s(x)dx. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, for vector fields φ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi^p (x-x_s)^p |F|^2 G_s(x)dx \\ =& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [2t_s \partial_p(\varphi^p)|F|^2 - 4t_s \partial_i \varphi^p F^\alpha_{pj\beta} F^\alpha_{ij\beta}] G_s dx \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 4t_s \varphi^p F^\alpha_{pj\beta}(J^\alpha_{j\beta} - \frac{1}{2t_s}X^\alpha_{j\beta}) G_s dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$X^\alpha_{j\beta} = (x-x_s)^p F^\alpha_{pj\beta}.$$

Taking $\varphi = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^p}$ and noting that $J_j = \frac{x^p}{2}F_{pj}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (x-x_s)^p |F|^2 G_s(x)dx &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 4t_s F^\alpha_{pj\beta}(J^\alpha_{j\beta} - \frac{1}{2t_s}X^\alpha_{j\beta}) G_s dx, \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 4t_s F^\alpha_{pj\beta}(\frac{1}{2}x^i - \frac{1}{2t_s}(x-x_s)^i) F^\alpha_{ij\beta} G_s dx. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\varphi(x) = x - x_s$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-x_s|^2 |F|^2 G_s dx \\ =& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [2(n-4)t_s|F|^2] G_s dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 4t_s X^\alpha_{j\beta}(J^\alpha_{j\beta} - \frac{1}{2t_s}X^\alpha_{j\beta}) G_s dx \\ =& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [2(n-4)t_s|F|^2 + 2|X|^2] G_s dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2t_s X^\alpha_{j\beta} x^i F^\alpha_{ij\beta} G_s dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} g'(s) &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{n-4}{2} t_s \dot{t}_s |F|^2 G_s(x)dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}\dot{t}_s [\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [2(n-4)t_s|F|^2 + 2|X|^2] G_s dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2t_s X^\alpha_{j\beta} x^i F^\alpha_{ij\beta} G_s dx] \\ &\quad - t_s y^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t_s F^\alpha_{pj\beta}(x^i - \frac{1}{t_s}(x-x_s)^i) F^\alpha_{ij\beta} G_s dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{t}_s [\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |X|^2 G_s dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t_s X^\alpha_{j\beta} x^i F^\alpha_{ij\beta} G_s dx] \\ &\quad - t_s y^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t_s F^\alpha_{pj\beta}(x^i - \frac{1}{t_s}(x-x_s)^i) F^\alpha_{ij\beta} G_s dx. \end{aligned}$$

Set $z = x - x_s = x - sy$. We have $x = z + sy$ and $X_j = z^i F_{ij}$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} g'(s) &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{t}_s[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(1-t_s)|X|^2 G_s dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t_s X^\alpha_{j\beta} s y^i F^\alpha_{ij\beta} G_s dx] \\ &\quad -t_s y^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t_s F^\alpha_{pj\beta}(z^i + sy^i - \frac{1}{t_s}z^i) F^\alpha_{ij\beta} G_s dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{t}_s[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(1-t_s)|X|^2 G_s dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t_s X^\alpha_{j\beta} s y^i F^\alpha_{ij\beta} G_s dx] \\ &\quad -t_s y^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(t_s - 1) F^\alpha_{pj\beta} X^\alpha_{j\beta} G_s dx - t_s^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} s y^p F^\alpha_{pj\beta} y^i F^\alpha_{ij\beta} G_s dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{t}_s(1-t_s)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|X|^2 G_s dx - (\frac{1}{2}s\dot{t}_s t_s + t_s(t_s-1))\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} < X_j, y^i F_{ij} > G_s dx \\ &\quad -s t_s^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y^i F_{ij}|^2 G_s dx. \end{aligned}$$

For $t_s = 1 + (T-1)s^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g'(s) &= -s[(T-1)^2 s^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|X|^2 G_s dx + 2(T-1)s t_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} < X_j, y^i F_{ij} > G_s dx \\ &\quad + t_s^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y^i F_{ij}|^2 G_s dx] \\ &= -s\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(T-1)sX_j + t_s y^i F_{ij}|^2 G_s dx \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

7. ENTROPY-STABILITY AND F-STABILITY

In this section we shall show that the entropy-stability of a homothetically shrinking soliton such that $i_V F \neq 0$ for any non-zero V implies F-stability.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $A(x)$ be a homothetically shrinking soliton in $\mathcal{S}_{0,1}$ such that $i_V F \neq 0$ for any non-zero V. If $A(x)$ is entropy-stable, then it is F-stable.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Assume that $A(x)$ is F-unstable. By the definition of F-stability there exists a 1-parameter family of connections $A_s(x), s \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$, with $\theta_s(x) := \frac{d}{ds}A_s(x) \in C_0^\infty$, such that for any deformation (x_s, t_s) of $(x_0 = 0, t_0 = 1)$, we have

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2}|_{s=0}\mathcal{F}_{x_s,t_s}(A_s) < 0. \tag{7.1}$$

We start from this to show that A is entropy-unstable. Let

$$H : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon], \quad H(y, T, s) = \mathcal{F}_{y,T}(A_s).$$

In fact we will show that there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for s with $0 < |s| \leq \epsilon_0$,

$$\sup_{y,T} H(y, T, s) < H(0, 1, 0). \tag{7.2}$$

Hence for s with $0 < |s| \leq \epsilon_0$, $\lambda(A_s) < \lambda(A)$, which contradicts with our assumption.

Step 1. We prove that there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that for any s with $0 < |s| \le \epsilon_1$,

$$\sup\{H(y,T,s) : |y| \le \epsilon_1, |\log T| \le \epsilon_1\} < H(0,1,0). \tag{7.3}$$

By the assumption that $A(x) \in \mathcal{S}_{0,1}$ and Corollary 3.2, we have

$$\nabla H(0,1,0) = 0.$$

For any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n, a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $(sy, 1+as, bs)$ is a curve through $(0,1,0)$. In case of $b \neq 0$, by (7.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2H}{ds^2}|_{s=0}(sy, 1+as, bs) &= \frac{d^2}{ds^2}|_{s=0}\mathcal{F}_{sy,1+as}(A_{bs}) \\ &= b^2\frac{d^2}{ds^2}|_{s=0}\mathcal{F}_{\frac{s}{b}y,1+a\frac{s}{b}}(A_s) \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $b = 0$ and $(a,y) \neq (0,0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2H}{ds^2}|_{s=0}(sy, 1+as, 0) &= \frac{d^2}{ds^2}|_{s=0}\mathcal{F}_{sy,1+as}(A) \\ &= -2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(a^2|J|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|i_yF|^2)Gdx \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the assumption that $i_yF \neq 0$ for $y \neq 0$ and its implication that $J \neq 0$. Hence the Hessian of H at $(0,1,0)$ is negative definite and H has a local strict maximum at $(0,1,0)$. Thus there exists $\epsilon_1 \in (0, \epsilon]$ such that if $0 < |y| + |\log T| + |s| \le 3\epsilon_1$, then $H(y,T,s) < H(0,1,0)$. In particular for any s with $0 < |s| \le \epsilon_1$, we have

$$\sup\{H(y,T,s) : |y| \le \epsilon_1, |\log T| \le \epsilon_1\} < H(0,1,0).$$

Step 2. We prove that there exists $R_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{T,s} H(y,T,s) < H(0,1,0), \quad \text{for} \quad |y| \ge R_0. \tag{7.4}$$

Denote the support of θ_s by Ω_s and $\Omega = \bigcup_{s\in[-\epsilon,\epsilon]}\Omega_s$. Then on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$, $F_s = F$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} H(y,T,s) &= T^2\int_\Omega |F_s|^2(4\pi T)^{-\frac{n}{2}}e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4T}}dx + T^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\Omega}|F|^2(4\pi T)^{-\frac{n}{2}}e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4T}}dx \\ &\le T^2\int_\Omega |F_s|^2(4\pi T)^{-\frac{n}{2}}e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4T}}dx + H(y,T,0). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $|y| \ge 1$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $H(y,T,0) \le H(0,1,0) - \delta$, see Proposition 6.4. Let $M = \sup\{|F_s(x)|^2 : s \in [-\epsilon,\epsilon], x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$, $D = \sup_{x\in\Omega}|x|$ and $|\Omega| = \int_\Omega dx$. Then for $|y| \ge D + R$ with $R \ge 1$, we have

$$H(y,T,s) \le M|\Omega|T^2(4\pi T)^{-\frac{n}{2}}e^{-\frac{R^2}{4T}} + H(0,1,0) - \delta.$$

Let $f(r) = r^{-\frac{n-4}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{4r}}$, $r > 0$, which is uniformly bounded. Note that $n \ge 5$. Then as $R \to \infty$, $T^{\frac{4-n}{2}}e^{-\frac{R^2}{4T}} = f(\frac{T}{R^2})R^{4-n} \to 0$, uniformly in $T > 0$. Hence we can choose sufficiently large R such that for $|y| \ge D + R := R_0$, we have $H(y,T,s) \le H(0,1,0) - \frac{\delta}{2}$.

Step 3. We prove that exists $T_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{y,s} H(y,T,s) < H(0,1,0), \quad \text{for} \quad |\log T| \geq T_0. \tag{7.5}$$

We first consider the case that T is large. Note that for any $T > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} H(y,T,s) &= T^2 \int_\Omega |F_s|^2 (4\pi T)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4T}} dx + T^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} |F|^2 (4\pi T)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4T}} dx \\ &\leq T^2 \int_\Omega |F_s|^2 (4\pi T)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4T}} dx + H(y,T,0) \\ &\leq M|\Omega| T^2 (4\pi T)^{-\frac{n}{2}} + H(y,T,0). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 6.4, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $H(y,T,0) \leq H(0,1,0) - \delta$ when $T \geq 2$. Hence there exists $T_1 \geq 2$ such that

$$H(y,T,s) \leq H(0,1,0) - \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad \text{for} \quad T \geq T_1. \tag{7.6}$$

Note that $M = \sup\{|F_s(x)|^2 : s \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon], x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$. Hence for any $T > 0$, we have

$$H(y,T,s) = \mathcal{F}_{y,T}(A_s) = T^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |F_s|^2 (4\pi T)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4T}} dx \leq MT^2.$$

Thus there exists $T_2 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{y,s} H(y,T,s) < H(0,1,0), \quad \text{for} \quad T \leq T_2. \tag{7.7}$$

Combing (7.6) and (7.7), we get (7.5).

Step 4. Set

$$U = \{(y,T) : |y| \leq R_0, |\log T| \leq T_0\} \setminus \{(y,T) : |y| < \epsilon_1, |\log T| < \epsilon_1\}.$$

We now prove that there exists $\epsilon_0 \leq \epsilon_1$ such that for any s with $|s| \leq \epsilon_0$,

$$\sup\{H(y,T,s) : (y,T) \in U\} < H(0,1,0). \tag{7.8}$$

Note that U is a compact set which does not contain $(0,1)$. By Proposition 6.4, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sup_U H(y,T,0) \leq H(0,1,0) - \delta.$$

By the first variation formula (3.3) of the $\mathcal{F}$-functional, we have

$$\frac{d}{ds} H(y,T,s) = -2T^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} < J(A_s) - \frac{1}{2T} i_{x-y} F(A_s), \theta_s > G_{y,T}(x) dx.$$

Since θ_s is compactly supported, $\partial_s H$ is continuous in all three variables y, T, and s. Therefore there exists $0 < \epsilon_0 \leq \epsilon_1$ such that if $|s| \leq \epsilon_0$, then

$$\sup_U H(y,T,s) \leq H(0,1,0) - \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

This proves (7.8). Combining (7.3), (7.4), (7.5) and (7.8), we get (7.2) and complete the proof.

□

References

[1] Andrews, B.; Li, H.-Z.; Wei, Y. F-stability for self-shrinking solutions to mean curvature flow, arXiv:1204.5010

[2] Arezzo, C.; Sun, J. Self-shrinkers for the mean curvature flow in arbitrary codimension. Math. Z. 274 (2013), no. 3–4, 993–1027.

[3] Bourguignon, Jean-Pierre; Lawson, H. Blaine, Jr. Stability and isolation phenomena for Yang-Mills fields. Comm. Math. Phys. 79 (1981), no. 2, 189–230.

[4] Cao, H.-D.; Hamilton, R. S.; Ilmanen, T. Gaussian density and stability for some Ricci solitons, arXiv:math/0404165

[5] Cao, Huai-Dong; Li, Haizhong. A gap theorem for self-shrinkers of the mean curvature flow in arbitrary codimension. Cal. Var. Partial Differential Equations 46 (2013), no. 3–4, 879–889.

[6] Cao, Huai-Dong; Zhu, Meng. On second variation of Perelman's Ricci shrinker entropy. Math. Ann. 353 (2012), no. 3, 747–763.

[7] Chen, Yunmei; Shen, Chun-Li. Monotonicity formula and small action regularity for Yang-Mills flows in higher dimensions. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 2 (1994), no. 4, 389–403.

[8] Colding, Tobias H.; Minicozzi, William P. II. Generic mean curvature flow I: generic singularities. Ann. of Math. (2) 175 (2012), no. 2, 755–833.

[9] Feehan P. M. N. Global existence and convergence of smooth solutions to Yang-Mills gradient flow over compact four-manifolds, arXiv:1409.1525

[10] Gastel, A. Singularities of first kind in the harmonic map and Yang-Mills heat flows. Math. Z. 242 (2002), 47–62.

[11] Grotowski, Joseph F. Finite time blow-up for the Yang-Mills heat flow in higher dimensions. Math. Z. 237 (2001), no. 2, 321–333.

[12] Hamilton, Richard S. Monotonicity formulas for parabolic flows on manifolds. Comm. Anal. Geom. 1 (1993), no. 1, 127–137.

[13] Hong, Min-Chun; Tian, Gang. Global existence of the m-equivariant Yang-Mills flow in four dimensional spaces. Comm. Anal. Geom. 12 (2004), no. 1-2, 183–211.

[14] Kelleher, C.; Streets, J. Entropy, Stability, and Yang-Mills flow, arXiv:1410.4547

[15] Le, Nam Q.; Sesum, Natasa. Blow-up rate of the mean curvature during the mean curvature flow and a gap theorem for self-shrinkers. Comm. Anal. Geom. 19 (2011), no. 4, 633–659.

[16] Lee, Y.-I.; Lue, Y.-K. The Stability of Self-Shrinkers of Mean Curvature Flow in Higher Codimension, arXiv:1204.6116

[17] Li, Jiayu; Zhang, Yongbing. Lagrangian F-stability of closed Lagrangian self-shrinkers, Preprint.

[18] Naito, Hisashi. Finite time blowing-up for the Yang-Mills gradient flow in higher dimensions. Hokkaido Math. J. 23 (1994), no. 3, 451–464.

[19] Råde, Johan. On the Yang-Mills heat equation in two and three dimensions. J. Reine Angew. Math. 431 (1992), 123–163.

[20] Schlatter, Andreas E.; Struwe, Michael; Tahvildar-Zadeh, A. Shadi Global existence of the equivariant Yang-Mills heat flow in four space dimensions. Amer. J. Math. 120 (1998), no. 1, 117–128.

[21] Struwe, Michael. The Yang-Mills flow in four dimensions. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 2 (1994), no. 2, 123–150.

[22] Weinkove, Ben. Singularity formation in the Yang-Mills flow. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 19 (2004), no. 2, 211–220.

[23] Zhang, Yongbing. F-stability of self-similar solutions to harmonic map heat flow. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 45 (2012), no. 3–4, 347–366.

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Mathematisches Institut, Eckerstr. 1, 79104 Freiburg, Germany; New Address: Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

E-mail address: zx.chen@amss.ac.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences and Wu Wen-Tsun Key Laboratory of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, Anhui Province, China

E-mail address: ybzhang@amss.ac.cn